

EVALUATION CRITERIA

MOM will apply the following criteria in assessing the Proposer's Proposal:

1. Critical Criteria

1.1 Non-compliance with any of following critical criteria shall exclude a Proposer's Proposal from further evaluation by MOM:

(a) Criteria as set out in Clause 2 of Part 1: Section A – Instruction for Proposals; and

(b) The Proposer must have submitted its Proposal in accordance with the instructions contained and in the form(s) prescribed in this CFP.

2. Other Criteria

2.1 Proposals which have fully complied with the critical criteria stated above and any other mandatory requirements stated in this CFP, if any, will be further evaluated based on the following criteria set out in the table below:

Note: All Proposals will be evaluated individually.

S/N	Criteria	Weightage
<u>Cost Attribute (30%)</u>		
1	<u>Competitiveness of cost</u> For this criterion, the evaluation will be solely based on the competitiveness of the Proposed Grant Amount indicated in the Proposal, in the form set out in Part 4 Annex C. Please see Part 4 Annex E for a detailed explanation of how the competitiveness of cost will be computed in different scenarios.	30%
<u>Quality Attributes (70%)</u>		
2	Proposer's proposal to drive MW visitorship and enhance vibrancy of the RC through the following aspect (2,500 word limit): [A] programmes/initiatives to attract MWs to the RC (20%),	50%

	[B] ensuring affordable retail and food & beverage offerings at the RC, and attractive tenant mix (15%), [C] plans to partner organisations including Non-Government Organisations (NGOs) to contribute to the well-being of MWs at the RC (15%) For this criterion, evaluation will be based on the following factors: • How well the proposal meets migrant workers' social and recreational needs • Creativity/diversity of proposal in comparison to existing offerings at RCs • Details of implementation plan for the proposal (e.g. timeline and resourcing)	
3	<u>Track Record</u> For this criterion, evaluation will be based on the following: a. Experience and track record in organising, MW-centric programmes/initiatives that involved at least 200 MWs, from January 2020 till date. Proposers are to submit at least three (3) and up to five (5) records of such programmes/initiatives for evaluation. Submissions for each record should include details and supporting evidence of MW attendance, programming/activities, marketing and publicity, and feedback/testimonials provided by MW participants and/or relevant stakeholders. Photographs and/or videos of the programme/initiative may be submitted. (15%) <i>Note: Events organised by Proposer's Affiliates or members (in the context of association or Consortium) shall be considered as events organised by the Proposer.</i> b. Experience and track record in managing facilities/premises of similar scale from January 2020 till date.	20%

Part 3: Evaluation Criteria

	Submissions should include details and supporting evidence of the type and Gross Floor Area (GFA) of the facilities/premises managed and substantiated with supporting documents (5%) <i>Note: Facilities/premises managed by Proposer's Affiliates or members (in the context of association or Consortium) shall be considered as managed by the Proposer.</i>	
Total		<u>100%</u>